Case 2:15-cv-01331-MSG Document 1 Filed 03/13/15 Page 1 of 12 CV-1531

- JS 44 (Rev. 12/12)

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the internation contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This time, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the number of initiating the civil dockers here. The INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil o	Concession to the war not	TIONS ON NEXT FACE C	OF THIS FORM	1.)	9.5	1001	
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Stephen Duczkowski and Toni Duczkowski, h/w				DEFENDANTS The Pilot's Association for the Bay and River Delaware, Matthew G. Sullivan and Eric James			
(E	of First Listed Plaintiff CA XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFY CA Address, and Telephone Number squire/Gregory J. Rowalski Sth Floor 12	(SES))_	County of Residence NOTE: Attorneys (If Known)	of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES (IN LAND CONDEMNATION) THE TRACT OF LAND INVO	CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF	
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in	One Box Only)			INCHPAL PARTIES (P	lace an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff	
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party) ☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		William Co.	(For Diversity Cases Only) PTF Citizen of This State □ 1 □ 1 □ 1 □ 1 □ 1 □ 1 □ 1 □			
U.S. Government Defendant							
				gn Country	Jo J Totolga Amanon		
IV. NATURE OF SUI			on the same and				
CONTRACT 110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgmen 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel &	Other:		TABOR Fair Labor Standards Act Labor/Management Relations Complete Retirement Complet	BANKRUPTCY 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights 830 Patent 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY 861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 864 SSID Title XVI 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	OTHER STATUTES 375 False Claims Act 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 893 Environmental Matters 895 Freedom of Information Act 896 Arbitration 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
	moved from	ellate Court	4 Reinsta Reopen	ed Anot			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	Cite the U.S. Civil Statu 28 U.S.C. Section 1332 Brief description of caus Tort/Bodily Liability		e filing (Do no	ot cite jurisdictional statu	tes unless diversity):	MAR 13 201	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS UNDER RULE 23,	A CLASS ACTION F.R.Cv.P.	DEN	IAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint:	
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):		S. Goldberg		DOCKET NUMBER 2:	14-5311	
DATE 03/12/2015		SIGNATURE OF ATTO	DRNEY OF RE	GORD Gran	en Kowall		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	***************************************				1		
RECEIPT # AN	OUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	MAG. JUI	OGE	

JS 44 Reverse (Rev. 12/12)

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- I. (a) Plaintiffs-Defendants. Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
 - (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
 - (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.
 United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.
 United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.
 Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.
 Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)
- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerk(s) in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the six boxes.
 - Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.
 - Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.
 - Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date
 - Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.
 - Multidistrict Litigation. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.
- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

 Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction.

 Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

UNITED STATES DIST LORENTE PASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to assignment to appropriate calendar.	PRICT COURT be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose		
Address of Plaintiff; 7 Craig Drive, Laurel Springs, NJ 08021	48 1001		
Address of Defendant: 800 South Columbus Boulevard, Philadelphia, PA 1914	7 10 1001		
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: Packer Avenue Terminal, Philadelphia, F	PA		
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation (Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a)	300 000		
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities? RELATED CASE, IF ANY: Case Number: 2:14-5311 Judge Mitchell S. Goldberg	Yes□ No□ Date Terminated: Pending		
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:)		
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one y	Yes□ No□		
Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior action in this court?	suit pending or within one year previously terminated		
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier terminated action in this court?	Yes□ No□ numbered case pending or within one year previously Yes□ No□		
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil right	its case filed by the same individual? Yes No No		
CIVIL: (Place V in one Category only)			
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:		
1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts		
2. □ FELA	2. D Airplane Personal Injury		
3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. Assault, Defamation		
4. □ Antitrust	4. □ Marine Personal Injury		
5. D Patent	Motor Vehicle Personal Injury		
6. Labor-Management Relations	d. X Other Personal Injury (Please specify)		
7. D Civil Rights	Products Liability		
8. Habeas Corpus	8. Products Liability — Asbestos		
9. Securities Act(s) Cases	9. All other Diversity Cases		
0. Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)		
All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify)			
ARBITRATION CERT (Check Appropriate C , GREGORY J. KOWALSKI, ESQUIRE , counsel of record do hereby certi	ategory)		
□ Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs; □ Relief other than monetary damages is sought.	belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum o		
DATE: 3/12/2015 Frank	54271		
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if the	Attorney I.D.# ere has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.		
certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or	within one year previously terminated action in this court		
except as noted above.			
DATE:	Lain. LVIV		
Altorney-at-Law	Attorney 1.D.#		

MAR 13 2015

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

STEPHEN	DUCZK	OWSKI,	ET	UX.
----------------	-------	--------	----	-----

CIVIL ACTION

V.

1331

THE PILOT'S ASSOCIATION FOR THE BAY: AND RIVER DELAWARE, ET AL.

NO.

In accordance with the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan of this court, counsel for plaintiff shall complete a Case Management Track Designation Form in all civil cases at the time of filing the complaint and serve a copy on all defendants. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reverse side of this form.) In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a Case Management Track Designation Form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.

SELECT ONE OF THE FOLLOWING CASE MANAGEMENT TRACKS:

(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255.	
(b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.	()

- (c) Arbitration Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.
- (d) Asbestos Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from () exposure to asbestos.
- (e) Special Management Cases that do not fall into tracks (a) through (d) that are commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation of special management cases.)
- (f) Standard Management Cases that do not fall into any one of the other tracks.



()

()

PLAINTIFFS MICHAEL O. PANSINI 3/12/15 Attorney for Date Attorney-at-law mpansini@pansinilaw.com (215) 732-5555 (215) 732-7872 E-Mail Address FAX Number Telephone

(Civ. 660) 10/02

Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan Section 1:03 - Assignment to a Management Track

- (a) The clerk of court will assign cases to tracks (a) through (d) based on the initial pleading.
- (b) In all cases not appropriate for assignment by the clerk of court to tracks (a) through (d), the plaintiff shall submit to the clerk of court and serve with the complaint on all defendants a case management track designation form specifying that the plaintiff believes the case requires Standard Management or Special Management. In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a case management track designation form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.
- (c) The court may, on its own initiative or upon the request of any party, change the track assignment of any case at any time.
- (d) Nothing in this Plan is intended to abrogate or limit a judicial officer's authority in any case pending before that judicial officer, to direct pretrial and trial proceedings that are more stringent than those of the Plan and that are designed to accomplish cost and delay reduction.
- (e) Nothing in this Plan is intended to supersede Local Civil Rules 40.1 and 72.1, or the procedure for random assignment of Habeas Corpus and Social Security cases referred to magistrate judges of the court.

SPECIAL MANAGEMENT CASE ASSIGNMENTS (See §1.02 (e) Management Track Definitions of the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan)

Special Management cases will usually include that class of cases commonly referred to as "complex litigation" as that term has been used in the Manuals for Complex Litigation. The first manual was prepared in 1969 and the Manual for Complex Litigation Second, MCL 2d was prepared in 1985. This term is intended to include cases that present unusual problems and require extraordinary treatment. See §0.1 of the first manual. Cases may require special or intense management by the court due to one or more of the following factors: (1) large number of parties; (2) large number of claims or defenses; (3) complex factual issues; (4) large volume of evidence; (5) problems locating or preserving evidence; (6) extensive discovery; (7) exceptionally long time needed to prepare for disposition; (8) decision needed within an exceptionally short time; and (9) need to decide preliminary issues before final disposition. It may include two or more related cases. Complex litigation typically includes such cases as antitrust cases; cases involving a large number of parties or an unincorporated association of large membership; cases involving requests for injunctive relief affecting the operation of large business entities; patent cases; copyright and trademark cases; common disaster cases such as those arising from aircraft crashes or marine disasters; actions brought by individual stockholders; stockholder's derivative and stockholder's representative actions; class actions or potential class actions; and other civil (and criminal) cases involving unusual multiplicity or complexity of factual issues. See §0.22 of the first Manual for Complex Litigation and Manual for Complex Litigation Second, Chapter 33.

Hybo MSG,

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

:

FILED

MAR 1 3 2015

MICHAEL E. KUNZ, Clerk By ______Dep. Clerk

STEPHEN DUCZKOWSKI

and

TONI DUCZKOWSKI, h/w

7 Craig Drive

Laurel Springs, NJ 08021

15 1331

COMPLAINT AND JURY DEMAND

VS.

THE PILOT'S ASSOCIATION FOR

THE BAY AND RIVER DELAWARE

800 South Columbus Boulevard

Philadelphia, PA 19147

and

MATTHEW G. SULLIVAN

800 South Columbus Boulevard

Philadelphia, PA 19147

and

ERIC JAMES

800 South Columbus Boulevard

Philadelphia, PA 19147

DOCKET NO.

CIVIL ACTION COMPLAINT

- Plaintiff, Stephen Duczkowski, is an adult individual residing at 7 Craig Drive,
 Laurel Springs, NJ 08021.
- Plaintiff, Toni Duczkowski, is an adult individual residing at 7 Craig Drive,
 Laurel Springs, NJ 08021.
- 3. Defendant, The Pilot's Association for the Bay and River Delaware, is a business entity believed to be organized and existing under the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania or a foreign jurisdiction, with its primary place of business located at 800 South Columbus Boulevard, Philadelphia, PA 19147, which at all times material hereto regularly conducted business in Philadelphia County and the Commonwealth of

35 given Zn/pois RT Pennsylvania.

- 4. Defendant, Matthew G. Sullivan, is an individual with a primary place of business located at 800 South Columbus Boulevard, Philadelphia, PA 19147, or is otherwise amenable to service of process at that address.
- Defendant, Eric James, is an individual with a primary place of business located at 800 South Columbus Boulevard, Philadelphia, PA 19147, or is otherwise amenable to service of process at that address.
- 6. On or about March 13, 2013, the M/V Cap Irene was in navigable waters of the United States and moored at the Packer Avenue Terminal in Philadelphia, PA.
- 7. At all times material hereto, Defendants, The Pilot's Association for the Bay and River Delaware, Matthew G. Sullivan and Eric James, managed, operated, possessed and/or controlled the M/V V8 Stealth II and acted by and through their employees, servants and/or agents who were in the course and scope of their employment.
- 8. On or about March 13, 2013, and at all times mentioned herein, Plaintiff, Stephen Duczkowski, was an employee of Greenwich Terminals in the capacity of a longshoreman and was aboard the M/V Cap Irene as a business visitor in connection with the performance of cargo operations being conducted thereon.
- 9. On or about March 13, 2013, and at all times mentioned herein, the M/V V8 Stealth II was in navigable waters moving adjacent to the Packer Avenue Terminal in Philadelphia, PA at a high rate of speed.
- 10. On or about March 13, 2013, Plaintiff, Stephen Duczkowski, while working in the course of performing his duties as aforesaid, was caused to sustain serious injuries while using the gangway of the M/V Cap Irene due to the carelessness and negligence of

the Defendants, The Pilot's Association for the Bay and River Delaware, Matthew G. Sullivan and Eric James, individually and by and through their agents, servants, workmen and employees.

- By reason of the aforesaid negligence of the Defendants as hereinafter 11. alleged, the Plaintiff, Stephen Duczkowski, suffered severe and permanent injuries to his head, neck, back, arms and legs; he also sustained severe and permanent injuries to the bones, muscles, tendons, ligaments, nerves and tissues of his head, neck, back, arms, elbows and legs; including but not limited to injuries to the traumatic brain injury, post traumatic headaches, concussion, cognitive deficits, fractures of nasal bones, septal fractures, anterior nasal spine fractures, rib fractures, hearing loss, eustachian tube dysfunction, vision dysfunction, hematuria, trauma to chest wall, depression, nervous shock and aggravation and/or exacerbation of all known and unknown preexisting medical conditions. The Plaintiff, Stephen Duczkowski, suffered internal injuries of an unknown nature; he suffered severe aches, pains, mental anxiety and anguish, and a severe shock to his entire nervous system, and other injuries the full extent of which are not yet known. He has in the past and will in the future undergo severe pain and suffering as a result of which he has been in the past and will in the future be unable to attend to his usual duties and occupation, all to his great financial detriment and loss. The Plaintiff, Stephen Duczkowski, believes and therefore avers that his injuries are permanent in nature.
- 12. As a result of the aforesaid occurrence, the Plaintiff, Stephen Duczkowski, has been compelled, in order to effectuate a cure for the aforesaid injuries, to expend large sums of money for medicine and medical attention and may be required to expend additional sums for the same purposes in the future.

- 13. As a result of the aforesaid occurrence, the Plaintiff, Stephen Duczkowski, has been prevented from attending to his usual and daily activities and duties, and may be so prevented for an indefinite time in the future, all to him great detriment and loss.
- 14. As a result of the aforesaid occurrence, the Plaintiff, Stephen Duczkowski, has suffered physical pain, mental anguish and humiliation and he may continue to suffer same for an indefinite period of time in the future.

COUNTI

STEPHEN DUCZKOWSKI v. DEFENDANTS, THE PILOT'S ASSOCIATION FOR THE BAY AND RIVER DELAWARE, MATTHEW G. SULLIVAN AND ERIC JAMES

- 15. Plaintiff, Stephen Duczkowski, hereby incorporates each of the averments contained in the previous averments in Plaintiffs' Complaint as if fully set forth herein at length.
- 16. The carelessness and negligence of the Defendants, The Pilot's Association for the Bay and River Delaware, Matthew G. Sullivan and Eric James, by and through its agents, servants, workmen and/or employees, consisted of the following:
 - (a) operating the V8 Stealth II at an excessive speed;
 - (b) operating the V8 Stealth II so as to create a large wake;
 - (c) failing to properly train their crew in safe operation of the V. StealthII;
 - (d) failing to properly control and maintain the V8 Stealth II.
- 17. Defendants, The Pilot's Association for the Bay and River Delaware, Matthew G. Sullivan and Eric James's negligent conduct as described herein was the proximate cause of Plaintiff, Stephen Duczkowski's injuries.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Stephen Duczkowski, demands judgment in his favor and against the Defendants, individually, jointly and severally, for a sum in excess of ONE HUNDRED FIFTY THOUSAND (\$150,000.00) DOLLARS, plus delay damages, interest and costs and brings this action to recover same.

COUNT II TONI DUCZKOWSKI vs. THE PILOT'S ASSOCIATION FOR THE <u>BAY AND RIVER</u> <u>DELAWARE, MATTHEW G. SULLIVAN AND ERIC JAMES</u>

- 18. Plaintiff, Toni Duczkowski, hereby incorporates each of the allegations contained in the previous averments in Plaintiffs' Complaint as if fully set forth herein at length.
- 19. Plaintiff, Toni Duczkowski, is the spouse of Stephen Duczkowski, and as such, has incurred expenses in the treatment of her spouse's injuries, and may in the future be caused to incur additional expenses as she has in the past.
- 20. As a result of the occurrence aforesaid in the injuries averred aforesaid, the Plaintiff, Toni Duczkowski, to her great damage and loss, has been deprived of the society, companionship, services and assistance of her spouse, to which she is legally entitled.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Toni Duczkowski, demands judgment in her favor and against the Defendants, individually, jointly and severally, for a sum in excess of ONE

HUNDRED FIFTY THOUSAND (\$150,000.00) DOLLARS, plus delay damages, interest and costs and brings this action to recover same.

PANSINI & MEZROW

DATE: 3/12/15 BY:

/s/ Michael O. Pansini

MICHAEL O. PANSINI, ESQUIRE

Validation Code: MOP2337

GREGORY J. KOWALSKI, ESQUIRE

Validation Code: GJK3197 1525 Locust Street, 15th Floor

Philadelphia, PA 19102

(215) 732-5555

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

: SS

COUNTY OF PHILADELPHIA

.

Plaintiff in the foregoing action, and that the facts set forth in the Civil Action Complaint

discovery requests are true and correct to the best of box./ his

knowledge, information and belief. I understand that statements herein are made subject to the penalties of 18 Pa.C.S.A. § 4904 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

STEPHEN DUCZKOWSK

DATE: 3/12/15